arXiv:1108.3233v1 [math.DG] 16 Aug 2011

Classification of Hypersurfaces with Two Distinct Principal Curvatures and Closed Moebius Form in $\mathbb{S}^{m+1}$ *

Limiao Lin and Zhen Guo

Abstract: Let x be an m-dimensional umbilic-free hypersurface in an $(m+1)$-dimensional unit sphere $\mathbb{S}^{m+1}(m \geq 3)$. One of important questions is to classify hypersurfaces with two distinct principal curvatures. In this paper, we classify and explicitly express the hypersurfaces with two distinct principal curvatures and closed Moebius form, and then we characterize and classify conformally flat hypersurfaces of dimension larger than 3.

Keywords: Moebius geometry, Principal curvature, Conformally flat, Moebius form

MR(2000) Subject Classification: 54A30, 53C21,53C40

1 Introduction

Let $x : M^m \to \mathbb{S}^{m+1}$ be an m-dimensional umbilic-free hypersurface in an (m+1)-dimensional unit sphere $\mathbb{S}^{m+1}$ and $\{e_i\}$ a local orthonormal tangent frame field of x for the standard metric $I = dx \cdot dx$ with dual frame field $\{\theta_i\}$. Let $II = \sum_{ij} h_{ij}\theta_i\theta_j$ be the second fundamental form and H the mean curvature of x. Define $\rho^2 = \frac{m}{m-1}|II - \frac{1}{m}tr(II)I|^2$, then positive definite 2-form $\mathbf{g} = \rho^2 I$ is invariant under Moebius group of $\mathbb{S}^{m+1}$ and is called Moebius metric of x. Three basic Moebius invariants of x, Moebius form $\mathbf{\Phi} = \sum_i C_i\theta_i$, Blaschke tensor $\mathbf{A} = \rho^2 \sum_{ij} A_{ij}\theta_i\theta_j$ and Moebius second fundamental form $\mathbf{B} = \sum_{ij} B_{ij}\theta_i\theta_j$, are defined by([6])

$$C_i = -\rho^{-2}(e_i(H) + \sum_j (h_{ij} - H\delta_{ij})e_j(log\rho)), \tag{1.1}$$

$$A_{ij} = -\rho^{-2}(Hess_{ij}(log\rho) - e_i(log\rho)e_j(log\rho) - Hh_{ij}) - \frac{1}{2}\rho^{-2}(\|\nabla log\rho\|^2 - 1 + H^2)\delta_{ij}, \tag{1.2}$$

---

*Authors are supported by the project No.10561010 of NSFC and the project No.10861013 of NSFC.

$$B_{ij} = \rho^{-1}(h_{ij} - H\delta_{ij}), \tag{1.3}$$

where $Hess_{ij}$ and ∇ are the Hessian matrix and the gradient with respect to the induced metric $I = dx \cdot dx$. We call the eigenvalues of **B** are Moebius principal curvatures of x.

In Moebius geometry, since the eigenspaces of the Moebius second fundamental form **B** coincide with the eigenspaces of the (Euclidean) second fundamental form II of x, the number of distinct principal curvatures is a Moebius invariant. From [11] we know a hypersurface in $\mathbb{S}^{m+1}$ with two distinct principal curvatures is Dupin hypersurface if and only if its Moebius form vanishes. Pinkall [5] shows that Dupin conditions can be naturally formulated in the context of Lie sphere geometry and that they are invariant under the group of Lie sphere transformations. In 1985, Ceceil-Ryan [4] have proved that all Dupin hypersurfaces in $\mathbb{S}^{m+1}$ is equivalent by Lie sphere transformation to $\mathbb{S}^k(r) \times \mathbb{S}^{m-k}(\sqrt{1-r^2})$ and so they gave classification of hypersurfaces in $\mathbb{S}^{m+1}(m \geq 3)$ with two distinct principal curvatures and vanishing Moebius form under the Lie sphere transformation group. Note that the Lie sphere transformation group contains the Moebius transformation group in $\mathbb{S}^{m+1}$ as a subgroup. In 2002, Li-Liu-Wang-Zhao [11] classified hypersurfaces in $\mathbb{S}^{m+1}$ with two distinct principal curvatures and vanishing Moebius form under the Moebius transformation group. Now we are interested in: How about hypersurfaces in $\mathbb{S}^{m+1}$ with two distinct principal curvatures and non-vanishing Moebius form under the Moebius transformation group? In [15],[16], Z.Guo and other authers classified all hypersurfaces with isotropic Blaschke tensor and all hypersurfaces with constant Moebius curvature. They obtained all these hypersurfaces have two distinct principal curvatures and closed non-vanishing Moebius form. Here we will classify all hypersufaces $\mathbb{S}^{m+1}$ ($m \geq 3$)with two distinct principal curvatures and closed Moebius form.

For the purpose to make our main results intuitional, we use the following notations: $\mathbb{R}^{m+3}_1$ denotes Lorentz space with the inner product $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ given by

$$\langle Y, Z \rangle = -y_0 z_0 + y_1 z_1 + \cdots + y_{m+2} z_{m+2},$$

where $Y = (y_0, y_1, \cdots, y_{m+2}), Z = (z_0, z_1, \cdots, z_{m+2}) \in \mathbb{R}^{m+3}$. C^{m+2}_+ and $\mathbb{Q}^{m+1}$ denote the positive light cone and the quadric in real projection space $\mathbb{R}P^{m+2}$, which are defined as follows:

$$C^{m+2}_+ = \{X \in \mathbb{R}^{m+3}_1 : \langle X, X \rangle = 0, x_0 > 0\},$$

$$\mathbb{Q}^{m+1} = \{[Y] \in \mathbb{R}P^{m+2} : \langle Y, Y \rangle = 0\}.$$

Let $\mathbb{H}^{m+1}$ be the hyperbolic space defined by

$$\mathbb{H}^{m+1} = \{(y_0, y_1) \in \mathbb{R}^+ \times \mathbb{R}^{m+1} | -y_0^2 + y_1 y_1 = -1\}.$$

Now let $\sigma : \mathbb{R}^{m+1} \to \mathbb{S}^{m+1}$ be the inverse of the stereographic projection given by

$$\sigma(u) = (\frac{1-|u|^2}{1+|u|^2}, \frac{2u}{1+|u|^2}), \tag{1.4}$$

and $\tau : \mathbb{H}^{m+1} \to \mathbb{S}^{m+1}$ be the conformal map defined by

$$\tau(y_0, y_1) = (\frac{1}{y_0}, \frac{y_1}{y_0}), (y_0, y_1) \in \mathbb{H}^{m+1}. \tag{1.5}$$

The conformal maps σ and τ assign any hypersurface in $\mathbb{R}^{m+1}$ or $\mathbb{H}^{m+1}$ to a hypersurface in $\mathbb{S}^{m+1}$. We use map $\pi : C_+^{m+2} \to Q^{m+1}$ to denote the nature projection. For a hypersurface $x : M^m \to \mathbb{S}^{m+1}$, we have map

$$X := \pi(1, x) : M^m \to \mathbb{Q}^{m+1}, \tag{1.6}$$

which is determined by the immersion x and is called the natural map of x. It is known that two hypersurfaces $x, \widetilde{x} : M^m \to \mathbb{S}^{m+1}$ are Moebius equivalent if and only if whose natural maps $X, \widetilde{X} : M^m \to \mathbb{Q}^{m+1}$ are equivalent under the action of Lorentz group $O(m+2, 1)$. Now we state the main theorem as follows:

Theorem 1.1 *Let $x : M^m \to \mathbb{S}^{m+1}$ $(m \geq 3)$be a hypersurface with two distinct principal curvatures and closed Moebius form. Then x, up to a Moebius transformations of $\mathbb{S}^{m+1}$, is locally one of the following hypersurfaces:*
(1) the standard torus $\mathbb{S}^k(r) \times \mathbb{S}^{m-k}(\sqrt{1-r^2})$;
(2) the image of σ of the standard cyclinder $\mathbb{S}^k(1) \times \mathbb{R}^{m-k} \subset \mathbb{R}^{m+1}$;
(3) the image of τ of the standard $\mathbb{S}^k(r) \times \mathbb{H}^{m-k}(\sqrt{1+r^2})$ in $\mathbb{H}^{m+1}$;
(4)

$$x(M) = \sigma(\Gamma \times \mathbb{R}^{m-1}), \quad \Gamma \subset \mathbb{R}^2,$$

where Γ is any smooth curve in $\mathbb{R}^2$;
(5) for any negative constant a,

$$X(M) = \pi\left(\mathbb{H}^{m-1}(1/\sqrt{-a}) \times \Gamma\right), \quad \Gamma \subset \mathbb{S}^2(1/\sqrt{-a}),$$

where Γ is any smooth curve in $\mathbb{S}^2(1/\sqrt{-a})$;
(6) for any positive constant a,

$$X(M) = \pi\left(\Gamma \times \mathbb{S}^{m-1}(1/\sqrt{a})\right), \quad \Gamma \subset \mathbb{H}^2(1/\sqrt{a}),$$

where Γ is any smooth curve in $\mathbb{H}^2(1/\sqrt{a})$.

The hypersurfaces in the first three cases have vanishing Moebius form and they are Dupin hypersurfaces, but the hypersurfaces in the last three cases have non-vanishing Moebius form and then they aren't Dupin hypersurfaces. In §6 we will characterize umbilic-free conformally flat hypersurfaces in $\mathbb{S}^{m+1}(m \geq 4)$ as hypersurfaces with two distinct principal curvatures and then get Corallary 1.1

Corollary 1.1 *Let* $x : M^m \to \mathbb{S}^{m+1}$ *(*$m \geq 4$*) be a umbilic-free conformally flat hypersurface with closed Moebius form. Then x, up to a Moebius transformations of* $\mathbb{S}^{m+1}$*, is locally one of the following hypersurfaces:*
(1)

$$x(M) = \sigma(\Gamma \times \mathbb{R}^{m-1}), \quad \Gamma \subset \mathbb{R}^2,$$

where Γ *is any smooth cave in* $\mathbb{R}^2$ *;*
(2) for any negative constant a,

$$X(M) = \pi\left(\mathbb{H}^{m-1}(1/\sqrt{-a}) \times \Gamma\right), \quad \Gamma \subset \mathbb{S}^2(1/\sqrt{-a}),$$

where Γ *is any smooth curve in* $\mathbb{S}^2(1/\sqrt{-a})$*;*
(3) for any positive constant a,

$$X(M) = \pi\left(\Gamma \times \mathbb{S}^{m-1}(1/\sqrt{a})\right), \quad \Gamma \subset \mathbb{H}^2(1/\sqrt{a}),$$

where Γ *is any smooth curve in* $\mathbb{H}^2(1/\sqrt{a})$*.*

Remark 1.1 *Cartan proved that any conformally flat hypersurface with dimension* $m \geq 4$ *is (piece of) a branched channel hypersurface (envelope of a 1-parameter family of spheres). Cartan's result was re-proven several times [17]. Our Corollary 1.1 further more explicitly expresses conformally flat hypersurfaces with closed Moebius form.*

For the purpose of making the procedure of the proof of Theorem 1.1 and Corollary 1.1 clear, we organize the main context of the paper as six parts. In §2 we give the structure equations, the Moebius invariants of general m-dimensional hypersurfaces in $\mathbb{S}^{m+1}$. In §3, firstly, we study the general hypersurfaces with two distinct principal curvatures and get the local express of their basic Moebius invariants (Prop.3.1, Lem.3.1 and Lem.3.2). Secondly, we focus on hypersurfaces with two distinct principal curvatures and closed non-vanishing Moebius form and get Thm.3.2. In §4 we obtain the differential equations characterizing the hypersurfaces with two distinct principal curvatures and closed non-vanishing Moebius form (Thm.4.1). In §5 we treat the differential equations given in §4 and classify the hypersurfaces. In §6 we characterize umbilic-free conformally flat hypersurfaces in $\mathbb{S}^{m+1}$($m \geq 4$) and then get Corollary 1.1.

2 Moebius invariants for a hypersurface in $\mathbb{S}^{m+1}$

In this section we define Moebius invariants and recall the structure equations for hypersurfaces in $\mathbb{S}^{m+1}$. For the detail we refer to [6].

Let $x : M^m \to \mathbb{S}^{m+1} \subset \mathbb{R}^{m+2}$ be an umbilic-free hypersurface immersed in $\mathbb{S}^{m+1}$. We define the Moebius position vector $Y : M^m \to \mathbb{R}^{m+3}_1$ of x by

$$Y = \rho(1, x) : M^m \to \mathbb{R}^{m+3}_1, \rho^2 = \frac{m}{m-1}(||II||^2 - mH^2) > 0$$

Then we have following

Theorem 2.1 *([6]) Two hypersurfaces $x, \widetilde{x} : M^m \to \mathbb{S}^{m+1}$ are Moebius equivalent if and only if there exists T in the Lorentz group $O(m+2, 1)$ acting on $\mathbb{R}^{m+3}_1$ such that $Y = \widetilde{Y}T$.*

Since the Moebius group in $\mathbb{S}^{m+1}$ is isomorphic to the subgroup $O^+(m+2, 1)$, which preserves the positive part of the light cone in $\mathbb{R}^{m+3}_1$, from theorem 2.1 we know 2-form

$$\mathbf{g} = \langle dY, dY \rangle = \rho^2 dx \cdot dx \tag{2.1}$$

is a Moebius invariant and called the Moebius metric or the Moebius first fundamental form induced by x (cf. [6], [18], [19]). Let $\triangle$ denote the Laplacian of $\mathbf{g}$, then we have $\langle \triangle Y, \triangle Y \rangle = 1 + \frac{m}{m-1}R$, where R is the scalar curvature of $\mathbf{g}$. By defining

$$N = -\frac{1}{m}\triangle Y - \frac{1}{2m^2}(1 + \frac{m}{m-1}R)Y, \tag{2.2}$$

we have

$$\langle Y, Y \rangle = \langle N, N \rangle = 0, \langle Y, N \rangle = 1.$$

Moreover, if we take a local orthonormal basis $\{E_i\}$ with respect to $\mathbf{g}$ with dual basis $\{\omega_i\}$. Denoting $E_i(Y)$ by Y_i, we have

$$\langle Y_i, Y_j \rangle = \delta_{ij}, \langle Y_i, Y \rangle = \langle Y_i, N \rangle = 0, 1 \leq i, j \leq m.$$

Let V be the orthogonal complement of $span\{Y, N, Y_i\}$ in $\mathbb{R}^{m+3}_1$. Then we have the orthogonal decomposition

$$\mathbb{R}^{m+3}_1 = span\{Y, N\} \oplus span\{Y_1, \cdots, Y_m\} \oplus V. \tag{2.3}$$

Let E be a unit vector field of V, then $\{Y, N, Y_1, \cdots, Y_m, E\}$ forms a moving frame in $\mathbb{R}^{m+3}_1$ along M. We make use of the following convention on the ranges of indices: $1 \leq i, j, k, \cdots \leq m$, then the structure equations are given by

$$dY = \sum_i \omega_i Y_i, \tag{2.4}$$

$$dN = \sum_{ij} A_{ij}\omega_j Y_i + \sum_i C_i \omega_i E, \tag{2.5}$$

$$dY_i = -\sum_j A_{ij}\omega_j Y - \omega_i N + \sum_j \omega_{ij} Y_j + \sum_j B_{ij}\omega_j E, \tag{2.6}$$

$$dE = -\sum_i C_i\omega_i Y - \sum_{ij} B_{ij}\omega_j Y_i, \tag{2.7}$$

where ω_{ij} is the connection form of the Moebius metric $\mathbf{g}$, and A_{ij} and B_{ij} are symmetric with respect to (ij). It is clear that $\mathbf{A} = \sum_{ij} A_{ij}\omega_i \otimes \omega_j, \mathbf{B} = \sum_{ij} B_{ij}\omega_i \otimes \omega_j, \mathbf{\Phi} = \sum_i C_i\omega_i$ are Moebius invariants and called the Blaschke tensor, the Moebius second fundamental form and the Moebius form, respectively.

Remark 2.1 *The relations between $\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{B}, \mathbf{\Phi}$ and Euclidean invariants of x are given by (1.1), (1.2) and (1.3).*

Let R_{ijkl}, R_{ij} and R be components of the curvature tensor, Ricci curvature tensor and scalar curvature of the Moebius metric, respectively. By exterior differentiation of structure equations, we can get the integrability conditions for structure equations as follows([6]):

$$A_{ij,k} - A_{ik,j} = B_{ik}C_j - B_{ij}C_k, \tag{2.8}$$

$$C_{i,j} - C_{j,i} = \sum_k B_{ik}A_{kj} - \sum_k B_{jk}A_{ki}, \tag{2.9}$$

$$B_{ij,k} - B_{ik,j} = \delta_{ij}C_k - \delta_{ik}C_j, \tag{2.10}$$

$$R_{ijkl} = B_{ik}B_{jl} - B_{il}B_{jk} + \delta_{ik}A_{jl} + \delta_{jl}A_{ik} - \delta_{il}A_{jk} - \delta_{jk}A_{il}, \tag{2.11}$$

$$R_{ij} = -\sum_k B_{ik}B_{kj} + tr(A)\delta_{ij} + (m-2)A_{ij}, \tag{2.12}$$

$$tr(A) = \frac{1}{2m}(1 + \frac{m}{m-1}R), \sum_i B_{ii} = 0, \sum_{ij}(B_{ij})^2 = \frac{m-1}{m}, \tag{2.13}$$

where $\{A_{ij,k}\}$,$\{B_{ij,k}\}$ and $\{C_{i,j}\}$ are covariant derivatives of $\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{B}$ and $\mathbf{\Phi}$ with respect to the connection induced by $\mathbf{g}$. From (2.10) and (2.13) we have

$$\sum_i B_{ij,i} = -(m-1)C_j. \tag{2.14}$$

Remark 2.2 *Some recent results about the Moebius geometry of submanifolds can be found in [2],[7],[8],[9],[10],[11],[12],[13],[14], [15] and [16].*

3 The Moebius invariants of hypersurfaces with two distinct principal curvatures and closed Moebius form in $\mathbb{S}^{m+1}$

The goal of this section is to determine the basic Moebius invariants $\{\mathbf{g}, \mathbf{\Phi}, \mathbf{B}, \mathbf{A}\}$ of a hypersurfaces with two distinct principal curvatures and closed Moebius form in $\mathbb{S}^{m+1}$.

Let $x : M^m \to \mathbb{S}^{m+1} \subset \mathbb{R}^{m+2} (m \geq 3)$ be an umbilic-free hypersurface with two distinct principal curvatures. It was showed by Li-Liu-Wang-Zhao [11] that x has two distinct constant Moebius principal curvatures, which are given by

$$\lambda = \frac{1}{m}\sqrt{\frac{(m-1)(m-k)}{k}}, \mu = -\frac{1}{m}\sqrt{\frac{(m-1)k}{m-k}}, \tag{3.1}$$

where k is the multiplicity of λ.

Now we prove our the following two propositions.

Proposition 3.1 *Let $x : M^m \to \mathbb{S}^{m+1} \subset \mathbb{R}^{m+2}$ be a hypersurface with two distinct principal curvatures, then there are only two cases happen:*
(1) Moebius form $\mathbf{\Phi}$ of M vanishes;
(2) Moebius form $\mathbf{\Phi}$ of M doesn't vanish but M has two distinct Moebius principal curvatures $\frac{m-1}{m}$ and $-\frac{1}{m}$ of multiplicities 1 and $m-1$.

Proof£ Since the Moebius second fundamental form $\mathbf{B}$ has eigenvalues λ and μ of multiplicities k and $n-k$, we can define two distributions V_1 and V_2 as follows:

$$V_1 = \bigcup_{p \in M} V_1(p), V_2 = \bigcup_{p \in M} V_2(p), \tag{3.2}$$

where $V_1(p)$ and $V_2(p)$ are the eigenspaces, corresponding to eigenvalue λ and μ of Moebius second fundamental form $\mathbf{B}$ at a point $p \in M$, with $dim(V_1(p)) = k$ and $dim(V_2(p)) = m-k$. Thus we have decomposition

$$TM = V_1 \oplus V_2. \tag{3.3}$$

We can choose a local orthonormal tangent frame field $E_1, \cdots, E_m$ of $T(M)$ in a neighborhood of a point $p \in M$, such that $V_1 = span\{E_1, \cdots, E_k\}$ and $V_2 = span\{E_{k+1}, \cdots, E_m\}$. For convenience, we make the following convention on the ranges of indices:

$$1 \leq i, j, k, l \leq m; 1 \leq a, b, c \leq k; k+1 \leq \alpha, \beta, \gamma \leq m.$$

Then under the basis $\{E_a, E_\alpha\}$ we have

$$B_{aj} = \lambda\delta_{aj}, B_{\alpha j} = \mu\delta_{\alpha j}, \tag{3.4}$$

So we have

$$\sum_j B_{ab,j}\omega_j = dB_{ab} + \sum_i B_{ib}\omega_{ia} + \sum_i B_{ai}\omega_{ib} = 0, \tag{3.5}$$

$$\sum_j B_{a\alpha,j}\omega_j = dB_{a\alpha} + \sum_i B_{i\alpha}\omega_{ia} + \sum_i B_{ai}\omega_{i\alpha} = (B_{aa} - B_{\alpha\alpha})\omega_{a\alpha}, \tag{3.6}$$

$$\sum_j B_{\alpha\beta,j}\omega_j = dB_{\alpha\beta} + \sum_i B_{i\beta}\omega_{i\alpha} + \sum_i B_{\alpha i}\omega_{i\beta} = 0, \tag{3.7}$$

From (3.5)-(3,7) we have $B_{ab,j} = 0, B_{\alpha\beta,j} = 0$. Thus from (2,10) we get

$$B_{a\alpha,b} = B_{ab,\alpha} + \delta_{a\alpha}C_b - \delta_{ab}C_\alpha = -\delta_{ab}C_\alpha, \tag{3.8}$$

$$B_{a\alpha,\beta} = B_{\alpha\beta,a} + \delta_{a\alpha}C_\beta - \delta_{\alpha\beta}C_a = -\delta_{\alpha\beta}C_a, \tag{3.9}$$

From (2.14), (3.8) and (3.9) we have

$$-(m-1)C_a = \sum_j B_{ja,j} = -(m-k)C_a,$$

$$-(m-1)C_\alpha = \sum_j B_{j\alpha,j} = -kC_\alpha,$$

which show that

$$(k-1)C_a = 0, (m-1-k)C_\alpha = 0. \tag{3.10}$$

If $\mathbf{\Phi} \neq 0$, there exists a point $p \in M$ such that $\mathbf{\Phi}(p) \neq 0$. From (3.10), we know $k = 1$ or $k = m-1$ at p and also on M. Without generality, we can assume $k = 1$ and then

$$\lambda = \frac{m-1}{m}, \mu = -\frac{1}{m}.$$

It completes the proof. ▮

The hypersurfaces in the first case of Prop.3.1 have been classified by Li-Liu-Wang-Zhao.

Theorem 3.1 *([11]) Let $x : M^m \to \mathbb{S}^{m+1}$ $(m \geq 3)$be a hypersurface with two distinct principal curvatures and vanishing Moebius form. Then x is Moebius equivalent to an open part of one of the following hypersurfaces in $\mathbb{S}^{m+1}$:*
(1) the standard torus $\mathbb{S}^k(r) \times \mathbb{S}^{m-k}(\sqrt{1-r^2})$;
(2) the image of σ of the standard cyclinder $\mathbb{S}^k(1) \times \mathbb{R}^{m-k} \subset \mathbb{R}^{m+1}$;
(3) the image of τ of the standard $\mathbb{S}^k(r) \times \mathbb{H}^{m-k}(\sqrt{1+r^2})$ in $\mathbb{H}^{m+1}$.

On the following we focus on discussing the second case of Prop.3.1 i.e $\mathbf{\Phi} \neq 0$.

Let $x : M^m \to \mathbb{S}^{m+1} (m \geq 3)$ be an umbilic-free hypersurface with two distinct Moebius principal curvatures of multiplicites 1 and $m-1$, respectively. For convenience, we make the following convention on the ranges of indices:

$$1 \leq i, j, k, l \leq m; 2 \leq \alpha, \beta, \gamma \leq m.$$

Choose a local field of orthonormal tangent frame $\{E_1, E_2, \cdots, E_m\}$ of $T(M)$ in a neighborhood of a point $p \in M$ such that E_1 is a basis of V_1 and $E_2, \cdots, E_m$ is a basis of V_2. Then under the basis $\{E_1, E_\alpha\}$, we have

$$B_{1j} = \frac{m-1}{m}\delta_{1j}, B_{\alpha j} = -\frac{1}{m}\delta_{\alpha j}, \tag{3.11}$$

$$B_{11,j}=0, B_{\alpha\beta,j}=0, B_{1\alpha,j}=-C_1\delta_{\alpha j}, C_\alpha=0, \omega_{1\alpha}=-C_1\omega_\alpha. \tag{3.12}$$

Hence, we have $d\omega_1=\omega_j\wedge\omega_{j1}=0$. According to Frobenius theorem, it implies distribution $V_2=span\{E_2,\cdots,E_m\}$ is integrable. We denote the integral manifold of V_2 by N^{m-1}. Then, locally, we have decomposition

$$M^m=L\times N^{m-1},$$

where L is an interval in $\mathbb{R}^1$. For each $u\in L$, $\mathbf{g}$ induces a positive metric $\widetilde{g}=\mathbf{g}|_{\{u\}\times N^{m-1}}$ on $\{u\}\times N^{m-1}$. We will give the relationship of curvatures between $\{u\}\times N^{m-1}$ and M^m. Let $\widetilde{R}_{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta}$, $\widetilde{R}_{\alpha\beta}$ and $\widetilde{R}$ denote the components of curvature tensor, the components of Ricci curvature tensor and scalar curvature of $(\{u\}\times N^{m-1},\widetilde{g})$ under the basis $E_2,\cdots,E_m$, respectively.

Lemma 3.1

$$R_{\alpha\beta\gamma\lambda}=\widetilde{R}_{\alpha\beta\gamma\lambda}-C_1^2\delta_{\alpha\beta\gamma\lambda}, \tag{3.13}$$

$$R_{1\alpha1\beta}=(\frac{\partial C_1}{\partial u}-C_1^2)\delta_{\alpha\beta}, \tag{3.14}$$

$$R_{1\alpha\beta\gamma}=E_\beta(C_1)\delta_{\alpha\gamma}-E_\gamma(C_1)\delta_{\alpha\beta}, \tag{3.15}$$

where $\delta_{\alpha\beta\gamma\lambda}=\delta_{\alpha\gamma}\delta_{\beta\lambda}-\delta_{\alpha\lambda}\delta_{\beta\gamma}$.

Proof£ Choose $E_1=\frac{\partial}{\partial u}$, then $\{\omega_1=du,\omega_\alpha\}$ is the dual frame of $\{E_1,E_\alpha\}$ and $\mathbf{g}=du^2+\widetilde{g}$, where $\widetilde{g}=\mathbf{g}|_{\{u\}\times N^{m-1}}=\sum_\alpha\omega_\alpha^2$. Let ω_{ij} denote components of the connection form induced by $(M,\mathbf{g})$ under the basis $\{E_1,E_2,\cdots,E_m\}$ and $\widetilde{\omega}_{\alpha\beta}$ denote components of the connection form induced by $(\{u\}\times N^{m-1},\widetilde{g})$ under the basis $\{E_2,\cdots,E_m\}$. Then $\omega_{ij},\widetilde{\omega}_{\alpha\beta}$ satisfy

$$d_N\omega_\alpha=\sum_\beta\omega_\beta\wedge\widetilde{\omega}_{\beta\alpha}, d\omega_\alpha=\omega_1\wedge\omega_{1\alpha}+\sum_\beta\omega_\beta\wedge\omega_{\beta\alpha}. \tag{3.16}$$

where d_N denotes the exterior differential operator on T^*N^{m-1}. Because the exterior differential operator on $T^*(L\times N^{m-1})$ is $d=du\wedge\frac{\partial}{\partial u}+d_N$, then from (3.16) and (3.12) we get

$$du\wedge(\frac{\partial\omega_\alpha}{\partial u}+C_1\omega_\alpha)=\sum_\beta\omega_\beta\wedge(\omega_{\beta\alpha}-\widetilde{\omega}_{\beta\alpha}), \tag{3.17}$$

which implies that there exists function $a_{\alpha\beta}$ such that

$$\omega_{\alpha\beta}=\widetilde{\omega}_{\alpha\beta}+a_{\alpha\beta}du, a_{\alpha\beta}=\omega_{\alpha\beta}(\frac{\partial}{\partial u}). \tag{3.18}$$

Now we calculate the curvature tensors. Firstly,

$$-\frac{1}{2}\sum_{\gamma\lambda}\widetilde{R}_{\alpha\beta\gamma\lambda}\widetilde{\omega}_\gamma\wedge\widetilde{\omega}_\lambda=d_N\widetilde{\omega}_{\alpha\beta}-\sum_\gamma\widetilde{\omega}_{\alpha\gamma}\wedge\widetilde{\omega}_{\gamma\beta}, \tag{3.19}$$

$$-\frac{1}{2}\sum_{ij} R_{\alpha\beta ij}\omega_i\wedge\omega_j = d\omega_{\alpha\beta}-\omega_{\alpha 1}\wedge\omega_{1\beta}-\sum_{\gamma}\omega_{\alpha\gamma}\wedge\omega_{\gamma\beta}$$

$$= d_N\widetilde{\omega}_{\alpha\beta}-\sum_{\gamma}\widetilde{\omega}_{\alpha\gamma}\wedge\widetilde{\omega}_{\gamma\beta}+C_1^2\omega_\alpha\wedge\omega_\beta+du\wedge(\frac{\partial\widetilde{\omega}_{\alpha\beta}}{\partial u}$$

$$-d_N a_{\alpha\beta}-\sum_{\gamma}a_{\alpha\gamma}\widetilde{\omega}_{\gamma\beta}+\sum_{\gamma}a_{\gamma\beta}\widetilde{\omega}_{\alpha\gamma}). \tag{3.20}$$

Combining (3.19) with (3.20), we get (3.13) immediately. Secondly,

$$-\frac{1}{2}\sum_{ij} R_{1\alpha ij}\omega_i\wedge\omega_j = d\omega_{1\alpha}-\sum_{\beta}\omega_{1\beta}\wedge\omega_{\beta\alpha}$$

$$= -(\frac{\partial C_1}{\partial u}-C_1^2)\omega_1\wedge\omega_\alpha-\sum_{\beta}E_\beta(C_1)\omega_\beta\wedge\omega_\alpha,$$

we get (3.14) and (3.15) immediately. ▮

Next step we will check the following Lemma.

Lemma 3.2 *For fixed u,* $(\{u\}\times N^{m-1},\widetilde{g})$ *has constant curvature.*

Proof£ Under the chosen basis $\{E_1,E_2,\cdots,E_m\}$ in Lem.3.1, from (3.14) and Gauss equation (2.11), we have

$$\frac{\partial C_1}{\partial u}-C_1^2=-\frac{m-1}{m^2}+A_{11}+A_{\alpha\alpha},\forall 2\le\alpha\le m, \tag{3.21}$$

which implies all $A_{\alpha\alpha}$ are equal ($2\le\alpha\le m$). Denote $A_{11}=r_1, A_{\alpha\alpha}=r_2$, from (2.11) there holds

$$R_{\alpha\beta\alpha\beta}=\frac{1}{m^2}+2r_2,\alpha\ne\beta. \tag{3.22}$$

Hence, from (3.13) in Lemma we have

$$\tilde{R}_{\alpha\beta\alpha\beta}=2(\frac{1}{2m^2}+r_2+\frac{1}{2}C_1^2).$$

We will proof

$$E_\alpha(r_2+\frac{1}{2}C_1^2)=0. \tag{3.23}$$

In fact, from (2.11) and Lem.3.1, we have

$$A_{\alpha\beta}=0,\alpha\ne\beta,$$

$$E_\beta(C_1)\delta_{\alpha\gamma}-E_\gamma(C_1)\delta_{\alpha\beta}=A_{1\beta}\delta_{\alpha\gamma}-A_{1\gamma}\delta_{\alpha\beta},$$

and then

$$A_{\alpha\beta}=r_2\delta_{\alpha\beta},A_{1\beta}=E_\beta(C_1). \tag{3.24}$$

After calculating the covariant derivations of $A_{\alpha\beta}$ from (3.24) and (3.12), we get

$$A_{\alpha\beta,1}=E_1(r_2), A_{\alpha\beta,\gamma}=E_\gamma(r_2)\delta_{\alpha\beta}-C_1(A_{\alpha 1}\delta_{\beta\gamma}+A_{1\beta}\delta_{\alpha\gamma}). \tag{3.25}$$

Because $C_\alpha=0$, then $A_{\alpha\beta,\gamma}=A_{\alpha\gamma,\beta}$. So from (3.25) we have

$$E_\gamma(r_2)\delta_{\alpha\beta}-E_\beta(r_2)\delta_{\alpha\gamma}=C_1(A_{1\beta}\delta_{\alpha\gamma}-A_{1\gamma}\delta_{\alpha\beta}). \tag{3.26}$$

By taking $\alpha=\beta\neq\gamma$, (3.26) implies

$$E_\gamma(r_2)=-C_1A_{1\gamma}. \tag{3.27}$$

Combining (3.27) with (3.24), we get (3.23). So we know $(\{u\}\times N^{m-1},\widetilde{g})$ has constant curvature. ∎

From Lem.3.2 we can choose the local coordinate $(u,v_2,\cdots v_m)$ of M such that the Moebius metric $\mathbf{g}$ is expressed as follows:

$$\mathbf{g}=du^2+e^{2p(u,v_2,\cdots,v_m)}(dv_2^2+\cdots+dv_m^2), \tag{3.28}$$

where $e^{(\cdot)}$ denotes exponential function exp, p is a smooth function on $L\times N$. Then

$$\omega_1=du,\quad \omega_\alpha=e^p dv_\alpha,\quad \omega_{1\alpha}=-C_1e^p dv_\alpha.$$

$$E_1=\frac{\partial}{\partial u}, E_\alpha=e^{-p}\frac{\partial}{\partial v_\alpha}. \tag{3.29}$$

Now let $x:M^m\to\mathbb{S}^{m+1}(m\geq 3)$ be an umbilic-free hypersurface with two distinct Moebius principal curvatures of multiplicites 1 and $m-1$ and closed Moebius form. Because $d\mathbf{\Phi}=0$, locally, there exists a smooth function $f=f(u)$ such that $\mathbf{\Phi}=df, C_1=f'$. From (2.9), we know that Blaschke tensor $\mathbf{A}$ and Moebius second fundamental form $\mathbf{B}$ are diagonalized simultaneously.

Lemma 3.3 *The function p in (3.28) satisfies*

$$p(u,v_2,\cdots,v_m)=-f(u)+h(v_2,\cdots,v_m), \tag{3.30}$$

and connection forms with respect to g, except $\omega_{1\alpha}$, can be written as

$$\omega_{\alpha\beta}=\frac{\partial h}{\partial v_\alpha}dv_\beta-\frac{\partial h}{\partial v_\beta}dv_\alpha, \tag{3.31}$$

where h is a smooth function on N.

Proof£ One hand, from the second equation of (3.29) we have

$$d\omega_\alpha = e^p dp \wedge dv_\alpha.$$

On the other hand, from the third equation of (3.29) we have

$$d\omega_\alpha = \omega_1 \wedge \omega_{1\alpha} + \sum_\beta \omega_\beta \wedge \omega_{\beta\alpha} = -f' e^p du \wedge dv_\alpha + e^p \sum_{\beta=2}^m dv_\beta \wedge \omega_{\beta\alpha}.$$

Thus we have equation

$$(dp + f'du) \wedge dv_\alpha = \sum_{\gamma=2}^m \omega_{\alpha\gamma} \wedge dv_\gamma. \tag{3.32}$$

Noting that

$$(dp + f'du) \wedge dv_\alpha(\frac{\partial}{\partial u}, \frac{\partial}{\partial v_\beta}) = (p_u + f')\delta_{\alpha\beta},$$

and

$$\sum_{\gamma=2}^m \omega_{\alpha\gamma} \wedge dv_\gamma(\frac{\partial}{\partial u}, \frac{\partial}{\partial v_\beta}) = \omega_{\alpha\beta}(\frac{\partial}{\partial u}),$$

we have

$$(p_u + f')\delta_{\alpha\beta} = \omega_{\alpha\beta}(\frac{\partial}{\partial u}),$$

which yields

$$p_u + f' = 0, \quad \omega_{\alpha\beta}(\frac{\partial}{\partial u}) = 0. \tag{3.33}$$

From the first equation of (3.33) we have (3.30). From the second equation of (3.33) we see that $\omega_{\alpha\beta}$ can be expressed as

$$\omega_{\alpha\beta} = \sum_{\gamma=2}^m a_{\alpha\beta\gamma} dv_\gamma, \quad a_{\alpha\beta\gamma} = -a_{\beta\alpha\gamma}. \tag{3.34}$$

By putting (3.33) and (3.34) into (3.32) we have

$$\sum_{\beta,\gamma} (\frac{\partial p}{\partial v_\gamma}\delta_{\alpha\beta} + a_{\alpha\gamma\beta}) dv_\gamma \wedge dv_\beta = 0,$$

then

$$\delta_{\alpha\beta}\frac{\partial p}{\partial v_\gamma} - \delta_{\alpha\gamma}\frac{\partial p}{\partial v_\beta} = a_{\alpha\beta\gamma} - a_{\alpha\gamma\beta}. \tag{3.35}$$

Since $a_{\alpha\beta\gamma} = -a_{\beta\alpha\gamma}$, from (3.35) we can get

$$a_{\alpha\beta\gamma} = \frac{\partial p}{\partial v_\alpha}\delta_{\beta\gamma} - \frac{\partial p}{\partial v_\beta}\delta_{\alpha\gamma}. \tag{3.36}$$

(3.36), (3.34) and (3.33) imply (3.31). We complete the proof of Lemma 3.3. ▌

Lemma 3.4

$$\frac{\partial^2 h}{\partial v_\alpha^2}+\frac{\partial^2 h}{\partial v_\beta^2}+\sum_{\gamma=2}^{m}(\frac{\partial h}{\partial v_\gamma})^2-(\frac{\partial h}{\partial v_\alpha})^2-(\frac{\partial h}{\partial v_\beta})^2=-ae^{2h},\quad \alpha\neq\beta.$$

$$A_{\alpha\alpha}=r_2=\frac{1}{2}(ae^{2f}-(f')^2-\frac{1}{m^2}),$$

$$A_{11}=r_1=f''-\frac{1}{2}(f')^2+\frac{2m-1}{2m^2}-\frac{1}{2}ae^{2f}. \tag{3.37}$$

where a is a constant.

Proof£ Put (3.29)-(3.31) into (3.20), we get

$$-e^{2p}R_{\alpha\beta\gamma\lambda}=\frac{\partial^2 h}{\partial v_\gamma\partial v_\alpha}\delta_{\beta\lambda}-\frac{\partial^2 h}{\partial v_\lambda\partial v_\alpha}\delta_{\beta\gamma}-\frac{\partial^2 h}{\partial v_\gamma\partial v_\beta}\delta_{\alpha\lambda}+\frac{\partial^2 h}{\partial v_\lambda\partial v_\beta}\delta_{\alpha\gamma}-\frac{\partial h}{\partial v_\alpha}\frac{\partial h}{\partial v_\gamma}\delta_{\beta\lambda}$$
$$+\frac{\partial h}{\partial v_\alpha}\frac{\partial h}{\partial v_\lambda}\delta_{\beta\gamma}+\frac{\partial h}{\partial v_\beta}\frac{\partial h}{\partial v_\gamma}\delta_{\alpha\lambda}-\frac{\partial h}{\partial v_\beta}\frac{\partial h}{\partial v_\lambda}\delta_{\alpha\gamma}+((f')^2e^{2p}+\sum_{\varepsilon}(\frac{\partial h}{\partial v_\varepsilon})^2)\delta_{\alpha\beta\gamma\lambda},$$

then

$$e^{2p}R_{\alpha\beta\alpha\beta}=-\frac{\partial^2 h}{\partial v_\alpha^2}-\frac{\partial^2 h}{\partial v_\beta^2}-(f')^2e^{2p}-\sum_{\gamma}(\frac{\partial h}{\partial v_\gamma})^2+(\frac{\partial h}{\partial v_\alpha})^2+(\frac{\partial h}{\partial v_\beta})^2.\alpha\neq\beta \tag{3.38}$$

Let

$$a_{\alpha\beta}(v):=e^{-2h}[-\frac{\partial^2 h}{\partial v_\alpha^2}-\frac{\partial^2 h}{\partial v_\beta^2}-\sum_{\gamma}(\frac{\partial h}{\partial v_\gamma})^2+(\frac{\partial h}{\partial v_\alpha})^2+(\frac{\partial h}{\partial v_\beta})^2], \tag{3.39}$$

then (3.38) is equivalent to

$$R_{\alpha\beta\alpha\beta}=e^{2f}a_{\alpha\beta}(v)-(f')^2,\alpha\neq\beta. \tag{3.40}$$

On the other hand, from Lem.3.2, we know $a_{\alpha\beta}$ is independent of the choice of α and β. Let $a(v)$ denote $a_{\alpha\beta}$, then from (3.21), (3.22) and (3.13) there hold

$$A_{\alpha\alpha}=r_2=\frac{1}{2}(e^{2f}a(v)-(f')^2-\frac{1}{m^2}), \tag{3.41}$$

$$A_{11}=r_1=f''-\frac{1}{2}(f')^2+\frac{2m-1}{2m^2}-\frac{1}{2}e^{2f}a(v), \tag{3.42}$$

$$\widetilde{R}_{\alpha\beta\alpha\beta}=a(v)e^{2f},\alpha\neq\beta, \tag{3.43}$$

From Lem.3.2, we know $\widetilde{R}_{\alpha\beta\alpha\beta}$ is independent on v and then $a(v)$ is constant from (3.43). Hence we get (3.37). ▮

We view quadratic form $\hat{g}=e^{2h}(dv_2^2+\cdots+dv_m^2)$ as a metric on manifold N^{m-1}. It is easy to see that the connection forms, denoted by $\hat{\omega}_{\alpha\beta}$, with respect to this metric, are

$$\hat{\omega}_{\alpha\beta}=\omega_{\alpha\beta}=\frac{\partial h}{\partial v_\alpha}dv_\beta-\frac{\partial h}{\partial v_\beta}dv_\alpha. \tag{3.44}$$

Let $\hat{R}$ denotes the curvature induced by $\hat{\omega}_{\alpha\beta}$, which means

$$-\frac{1}{2}\sum_{\gamma\varepsilon}\hat{R}_{\alpha\beta\gamma\varepsilon}e^{2h}dv_\gamma\wedge dv_\varepsilon = d\hat{\omega}_{\alpha\beta}-\sum_{\gamma}\hat{\omega}_{\alpha\gamma}\wedge\hat{\omega}_{\gamma\beta}.$$

$$e^{2h}\hat{R}_{\alpha\beta\alpha\beta} = -\frac{\partial^2 h}{\partial v_\alpha^2}-\frac{\partial^2 h}{\partial v_\beta^2}-\sum_{\gamma=2}^{m}(\frac{\partial h}{\partial v_\gamma})^2+(\frac{\partial h}{\partial v_\alpha})^2+(\frac{\partial h}{\partial v_\beta})^2, \tag{3.45}$$

where $\alpha\neq\beta$. From (3.37) in Lemma 3.4 we get

$$\hat{R}_{\alpha\beta\alpha\beta} = a. \tag{3.46}$$

Now that metric $\hat{g}$ on N^{m-1} has constant curvature a, there exist local coordinates, for convenience, denoted by using same symbol $(v_2,\cdots,v_m)$ as above, such that

$$\hat{g} = \frac{dv_2^2+\cdots+dv_m^2}{(1+\frac{a}{4}||v||^2)^2}, \tag{3.47}$$

where $||v||^2=\sum_{\alpha=2}^{m}v_\alpha^2$.

By suming up above all, we come to the following conclusion:

Theorem 3.2 *For a $m(\geq 3)$-dimensional hypersurface M^m with two distinct principal curvatures and closed non-vanishing Moebius form in $\mathbb{S}^{m+1}$, under suitable local coordinations (u,v_α), the Moebius invariants of M^m can be expressed as follows:*

$$\mathbf{g} = du^2+e^{-2f(u)}(e^{2h(v)}\sum_{\alpha}dv_\alpha^2), \tag{3.48}$$

$$\mathbf{B} = \frac{m-1}{m}du^2-\frac{1}{m}e^{-2f(u)}(e^{2h(v)}\sum_{\alpha}dv_\alpha^2), \tag{3.49}$$

$$\mathbf{\Phi} = f'du, \tag{3.50}$$

$$\mathbf{A} = r_1du^2+r_2e^{-2f(u)}(e^{2h(v)}\sum_{\alpha}dv_\alpha^2), \tag{3.51}$$

where $f=f(u)$ is a smooth function and

$$r_1 = f''-\frac{1}{2}(f')^2+\frac{2m-1}{2m^2}-\frac{1}{2}ae^{2f},\ \ r_2=\frac{1}{2}(ae^{2f}-(f')^2-\frac{1}{m^2}), \tag{3.52}$$

and function $h(v)$ is given by

$$h(v) = -\log(1+\frac{a}{4}||v||^2). \tag{3.53}$$

Theorem 3.2 shows that the all Moebius invariants in structure equations are determined by function f and h in (3.53), and so we can get the hypersurface M^m by integrating the structure equations. We will complete the procedure in the next section.

4 The differential equations of the hypersurfaces M^m with two principal curvatures and closed non-vanishing Moebius form

In this section we derive the differential equations of the Moebius position vector function Y.

From the structure equations (2.4) - (2.7), by using(3.48)-(3.53), we have

$$dY = Y_u du + \sum_\alpha \frac{\partial Y}{\partial v_\alpha} dv_\alpha, \tag{4.1}$$

$$dN = (r_1 Y_u + f'E)du + r_2 \sum_\alpha \frac{\partial Y}{\partial v_\alpha} dv_\alpha, \tag{4.2}$$

$$dE = -(f'Y + \frac{m-1}{m} Y_u)du + \frac{1}{m} \sum_\alpha \frac{\partial Y}{\partial v_\alpha} dv_\alpha, \tag{4.3}$$

$$dY_u = -(r_1 Y + N - \frac{m-1}{m} E)du - f' \sum_\alpha \frac{\partial Y}{\partial v_\alpha} dv_\alpha, \tag{4.4}$$

$$\begin{aligned} dE_\alpha(Y) = &-e^p(r_2 Y + N + \frac{1}{m} E - f'Y_u \\ &+ e^{-2p} \sum_\beta \frac{\partial h}{\partial v_\beta} \frac{\partial Y}{\partial v_\beta}) dv_\alpha + e^{-p} \sum_\beta \frac{\partial h}{\partial v_\alpha} \frac{\partial Y}{\partial v_\beta} dv_\beta, \end{aligned} \tag{4.5}$$

Noting that $E_\alpha = e^{-p} \frac{\partial}{\partial v_\alpha}$, from (4.1) - (4.5) we get

$$Y_{uu} = -(r_1 Y + N - \frac{m-1}{m} E); \tag{4.6}$$

$$N_u = r_1 Y_u + f'E, \quad \frac{\partial N}{\partial v_\alpha} = r_2 \frac{\partial Y}{\partial v_\alpha}; \tag{4.7}$$

$$E_u = -(f'Y + \frac{m-1}{m} Y_u), \quad \frac{\partial E}{\partial v_\alpha} = \frac{1}{m} \frac{\partial Y}{\partial v_\alpha}; \tag{4.8}$$

$$\frac{\partial^2 Y}{\partial u \partial v_\alpha} + f' \frac{\partial Y}{\partial v_\alpha} = 0; \tag{4.9}$$

$$(r_2 Y + N + \frac{1}{m} E - f'Y_u)\delta_{\alpha\beta} = -e^f F_{\alpha\beta}, \tag{4.10}$$

where we set

$$F_{\alpha\beta} = e^{f-2h} \left(\frac{\partial^2 Y}{\partial v_\beta \partial v_\alpha} - (\frac{\partial h}{\partial v_\beta} \frac{\partial Y}{\partial v_\alpha} + \frac{\partial h}{\partial v_\alpha} \frac{\partial Y}{\partial v_\beta}) + \sum_\gamma \frac{\partial h}{\partial v_\gamma} \frac{\partial Y}{\partial v_\gamma} \delta_{\alpha\beta} \right). \tag{4.11}$$

On the following we concentrate on the solution of the partial differential equations (4.6)-(4.10). From (4.6) and (4.10) we have

$$E = (r_1 - r_2)Y + Y_{uu} + f'Y_u - e^f F_{\alpha\alpha}, \tag{4.12}$$

and

$$N = -\frac{(m-1)r_2 + r_1}{m}Y + \frac{m-1}{m}f'Y_u - \frac{1}{m}Y_{uu} - \frac{m-1}{m}e^f F_{\alpha\alpha}. \tag{4.13}$$

From (4.8) and (4.12), noting $r_1 - r_2 = -ae^{2f} + f'' + \frac{1}{m}$, we know that Y satisfies equations

$$Y_{uuu} + f'Y_{uu} + (f'' + (r_1 - r_2) + \frac{m-1}{m})Y_u + ((r_1 - r_2)' + f')Y = (F_{\alpha\alpha}e^f)_u, \tag{4.14}$$

The equation (4.9) implies

$$\frac{\partial^2}{\partial v_\alpha \partial u}(e^f Y) = 0. \tag{4.15}$$

Thus we have

$$Y = e^{-f}(\xi(u) + \eta(v)). \tag{4.16}$$

Put this into (4.11) we have

$$F_{\alpha\beta} = e^{-2h}\left(\frac{\partial^2 \eta}{\partial v_\alpha \partial v_\beta} - \frac{\partial h}{\partial v_\alpha}\frac{\partial \eta}{\partial v_\beta} - \frac{\partial h}{\partial v_\beta}\frac{\partial \eta}{\partial v_\alpha} + \sum_\gamma \frac{\partial h}{\partial v_\gamma}\frac{\partial \eta}{\partial v_\gamma}\delta_{\alpha\beta}\right). \tag{4.17}$$

Let $\eta = e^h\zeta$, then we have

$$F_{\alpha\beta} = e^{-h}\left(\left(\frac{\partial^2 h}{\partial v_\alpha \partial v_\beta} - \frac{\partial h}{\partial v_\alpha}\frac{\partial h}{\partial v_\beta} + \sum_\gamma (\frac{\partial h}{\partial v_\gamma})^2\delta_{\alpha\beta}\right)\zeta + \frac{\partial^2 \zeta}{\partial v_\alpha \partial v_\beta} + \sum_\gamma \frac{\partial h}{\partial v_\gamma}\frac{\partial \zeta}{\partial v_\gamma}\delta_{\alpha\beta}\right). \tag{4.18}$$

From (4.10) we see that $F_{\alpha\beta}$ satisfies

$$F_{\alpha\alpha} = F_{\beta\beta}, \quad F_{\alpha\beta} = 0, \quad \alpha \neq \beta. \tag{4.19}$$

Thus, from (4.18) we have

$$\frac{\partial^2 \zeta}{\partial v_\alpha \partial v_\beta} = -\left(\frac{\partial^2 h}{\partial v_\alpha \partial v_\beta} - \frac{\partial h}{\partial v_\alpha}\frac{\partial h}{\partial v_\beta}\right)\zeta, \quad \alpha \neq \beta, \tag{4.20}$$

and

$$\frac{\partial^2 \zeta}{\partial v_\alpha^2} + (\frac{\partial^2 h}{\partial v_\alpha^2} - \frac{\partial h}{\partial v_\alpha}\frac{\partial h}{\partial v_\alpha})\zeta = \frac{\partial^2 \zeta}{\partial v_\beta^2} + (\frac{\partial^2 h}{\partial v_\beta^2} - \frac{\partial h}{\partial v_\beta}\frac{\partial h}{\partial v_\beta})\zeta. \tag{4.21}$$

Since the function h is given by (3.53), we have

$$\frac{\partial^2 h}{\partial v_\alpha \partial v_\beta} - \frac{\partial h}{\partial v_\alpha}\frac{\partial h}{\partial v_\beta} = 0, \quad \alpha \neq \beta, \tag{4.22}$$

and

$$\frac{\partial^2 h}{\partial v_\alpha^2} - \frac{\partial h}{\partial v_\alpha}\frac{\partial h}{\partial v_\alpha} = \frac{\partial^2 h}{\partial v_\beta^2} - \frac{\partial h}{\partial v_\beta}\frac{\partial h}{\partial v_\beta}. \tag{4.23}$$

From (4.20)-(4.23) we get

$$\frac{\partial^2 \zeta}{\partial v_\alpha \partial v_\beta} = 0, \quad \frac{\partial^2 \zeta}{\partial v_\alpha^2} = \frac{\partial^2 \zeta}{\partial v_\beta^2}, \quad \alpha \neq \beta, \tag{4.24}$$

which shows

$$\zeta = ||v||^2 \vec{a} + \sum_{\alpha=2}^{m} v_\alpha \vec{b}_\alpha + \vec{c}, \tag{4.25}$$

where $\vec{a}, \vec{b}_\alpha$ and $\vec{c}$ are constant vectors. By putting (4.25) into (4.18) and using (3.53) we have

$$F_{\alpha\alpha} + a\eta = 2\vec{a} + \frac{a}{2}\vec{c}. \tag{4.26}$$

Now we consider the unknown function ξ. By substituting (4.16) into (4.14), we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\xi''' - 2f'\xi'' - (-ae^{2f} + (f')^2 - f'' + 1)\xi' - af'e^{2f}\xi \\ &= f'e^{2f}(2\vec{a} + \frac{a}{2}\vec{c}). \end{aligned} \tag{4.27}$$

From (4.25), (4.26) and (4.27) we come to the following conclusion:

Theorem 4.1 *Let Y be the Moebius position vector of the immersion $x : M^m \to \mathbb{S}^{m+1}$ with two principal curvatures and closed Moebius form which is non-vanishing. Then*

$$Y = e^{-f(u)}(\xi(u) + \eta(v)),$$

where vector function η is given by

$$\eta(v) = e^{h(v)}(||v||^2 \vec{a} + \sum_{\alpha=2}^{m} v_\alpha \vec{b}_\alpha + \vec{c}), \tag{4.28}$$

ξ satisfy (4.27) and functions $h(v)$ is given by (3.53).

Remark 4.1 *The conditions satisfied by constant vectors $\vec{a}, \vec{b}_\alpha, \vec{c}$ will be given in the next section.*

5 The classification theorem of the hypersurfaces with two principal curvatures in $\mathbb{S}^{m+1}$

In this section we classify the hypersurfaces with two principal curvatures in $\mathbb{S}^{m+1}$. The goal is to prove **Theorem 1.1** stated in §1. From Prop.3.1, Thm.3.1 and Lem.3.2 we see that it is necessary to determine the constant vectors $\vec{d}, \vec{b}_\alpha, \vec{c}$ in Theorem 4.1. It is from Thm. 3.2 and Thm. 4.1 that the Moebius position vector Y of the immersion x satisfies the following conditions:

$$Y = e^{-f}(\xi(u) + \eta(v)), \quad < Y, Y >= 0, \tag{5.1}$$

$$< \frac{\partial Y}{\partial u}, \frac{\partial Y}{\partial u} >= 1, \quad < \frac{\partial Y}{\partial u}, \frac{\partial Y}{\partial v_\alpha} >= 0, \quad < \frac{\partial Y}{\partial v_\alpha}, \frac{\partial Y}{\partial v_\beta} >= e^{-2f+2h}\delta_{\alpha\beta}. \qquad (5.2).$$

Lemma 5.1 *Let $\vec{d}, \vec{b}_\alpha, \vec{c}$ and ξ are the quantities given in the theorem 4.1. Then we have following identities:*

$$< \xi + \vec{c}, \xi + \vec{c} >= 0, \quad < \xi', \xi' >= e^{2f}; \tag{5.3}$$

$$< \xi + \vec{c}, \vec{b}_\alpha >= 0, \quad < \xi + \vec{c}, 2\vec{d} - \frac{a}{2}\vec{c} > +1 = 0; \tag{5.4}$$

$$< \vec{b}_\alpha, \vec{b}_\beta >= \delta_{\alpha\beta}, \quad < 2\vec{d} - \frac{a}{2}\vec{c}, \vec{b}_\alpha >= 0, \quad < 2\vec{d} - \frac{a}{2}\vec{c}, 2\vec{d} - \frac{a}{2}\vec{c} >= a. \tag{5.5}$$

Proof£ (5.1) implies

$$< \xi + \eta, \xi + \eta >= 0,$$

for all (u, v). In particular, if we take $v = 0$ then we have $f(0) = 0, \eta(0) = \vec{c}$ and so have

$$< \xi + \vec{c}, \xi + \vec{c} >= 0,$$

which is the first equation of (5.3). Noting that the first equation of (5.2) implies

$$e^{-2f}(-2f' < \xi + \eta, \xi' > + < \xi', \xi' >) = 1,$$

As $\eta(0) = \vec{c}$ we have

$$e^{-2f}(-2f' < \xi + \vec{c}, \xi' > + < \xi', \xi' >) = 1,$$

Since the first equation of (5.3) implies $< \xi + \vec{c}, \xi' >= 0$,we have

$$< \xi', \xi' >= e^{2f},$$

which is the second equation of (5.3).

From the definitions of h and η we have

$$\frac{\partial h}{\partial v_\alpha} = -\frac{a}{2}v_\alpha e^h, \quad \frac{\partial \eta}{\partial v_\alpha} = -\frac{a}{2}v_\alpha e^h \eta + e^h(2v_\alpha \vec{d} + \vec{b}_\alpha),$$

$$\frac{\partial^2 \eta}{\partial v_\alpha^2} = e^h(-\frac{a}{2}\eta - \frac{a}{2}v_\alpha \frac{\partial h}{\partial v_\alpha}\eta - \frac{a}{2}v_\alpha \frac{\partial \eta}{\partial v_\alpha} - \frac{\partial h}{\partial v_\alpha}(2v_\alpha \vec{d} + \vec{b}_\alpha) + 2\vec{d}). \tag{5.6}$$

Hence we have

$$\frac{\partial \eta}{\partial v_\alpha}|_{v=0} = \vec{b}_\alpha, \quad \frac{\partial^2 \eta}{\partial v_\alpha^2}|_{v=0} = 2\vec{a} - \frac{a}{2}\vec{c}. \tag{5.7}$$

We see that (5.1) and (5.7) imply

$$0 =< Y, \frac{\partial Y}{\partial v_\alpha} >= e^{-2f} < \xi + \eta, \frac{\partial \eta}{\partial v_\alpha} >,$$

which yields

$$< \xi + \eta, \frac{\partial \eta}{\partial v_\alpha} >= 0,$$

$$< \frac{\partial \eta}{\partial v_\alpha}, \frac{\partial \eta}{\partial v_\alpha} > + < \xi + \eta, \frac{\partial^2 \eta}{\partial v_\alpha^2} >= 0. \tag{5.8}$$

By putting (5.7) into (5.8) we have

$$< \xi + \vec{c}, \vec{b}_\alpha >= 0, \quad < \xi + \vec{c}, 2\vec{a} - \frac{a}{2}\vec{c} > + < \vec{b}_\alpha, \vec{b}_\alpha >= 0. \tag{5.9}$$

Noting that the third equation of (5.2) implies

$$\begin{aligned} \delta_{\alpha\beta} e^{-2f+2h} &=< \frac{\partial Y}{\partial v_\alpha}, \frac{\partial Y}{\partial v_\beta} > \\ &= e^{-2f+2h} < -\frac{a}{2} v_\alpha \eta + 2v_\alpha \vec{a} + \vec{b}_\alpha, -\frac{a}{2} v_\beta \eta + 2v_\beta \vec{a} + \vec{b}_\beta > \end{aligned}$$

We have

$$< -\frac{a}{2} v_\alpha \eta + 2v_\alpha \vec{a} + \vec{b}_\alpha, -\frac{a}{2} v_\beta \eta + 2v_\beta \vec{a} + \vec{b}_\beta >= \delta_{\alpha\beta}. \tag{5.10}$$

By taking $v = 0$ in (5.10) we have

$$< \vec{b}_\alpha, \vec{b}_\beta >= \delta_{\alpha\beta},$$

which is the first equation (5.5). By taking $\alpha = \beta$ and differentiating two sides of the equation (5.10) by $\partial/\partial v_\alpha$, we have

$$< -\frac{a}{2} v_\alpha \eta + 2v_\alpha \vec{a} + \vec{b}_\alpha, -\frac{a}{2}\eta - \frac{a}{2} v_\alpha \frac{\partial \eta}{\partial v_\alpha} + 2\vec{a} >= 0. \tag{5.11}$$

By differentiating the two sides of the equation (5.11) by $\partial/\partial v_\alpha$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} &< -\frac{a}{2}\eta - \frac{a}{2} v_\alpha \frac{\partial \eta}{\partial v_\alpha} + 2\vec{a}, -\frac{a}{2}\eta - \frac{a}{2} v_\alpha \frac{\partial \eta}{\partial v_\alpha} + 2\vec{a} > \\ &+ < -\frac{a}{2} v_\alpha \eta + 2v_\alpha \vec{a} + \vec{b}_\alpha, -2a\frac{\partial \eta}{\partial v_\alpha} - \frac{a}{2} v_\alpha \frac{\partial^2 \eta}{\partial v_\alpha^2} >= 0. \end{aligned} \tag{5.12}$$

By taking $v = 0$ and putting (5.7) into (5.11) and (5.12) we get the second equation and the third equation of (5.5). This completes the proof of the lemma 5.1. ∎

We need to discuss the cases $a = 0, a < 0$ and $a > 0$.

Case I: $a = 0$.

Let y denote a component of the unknown vector value function ξ in the equation (4.27). We consider ordinary equation

$$y''' - 2f'y'' + ((f')^2 - f'' + 1)y' = f'e^{2f}. \tag{5.13}$$

and the corresponding homogeneous equation:

$$y''' - 2f'y'' + ((f')^2 - f'' + 1)y' = 0. \tag{5.14}$$

In this case, if we set $z = y'$ then the equations (5.13) and (5.14) are reduced to

$$z'' - 2f'z' + ((f')^2 - f'' + 1)z = f'e^{2f}. \tag{5.15}$$

$$z'' - 2f'z' + ((f')^2 - f'' + 1)z = 0. \tag{5.16}$$

So we get two linear independent solutions of (5.16) as follows

$$z_1 = e^f \cos u, z_2 = e^f \sin u, \tag{5.17}$$

Since z_1 and z_2 have properties:

$$z_1' = f'z_1 - z_2, \quad z_2' = f'z_2 + z_1, \tag{5.18}$$

$$z_1^2 + z_2^2 = e^{2f}, \;\; z_1z_1' + z_2z_2' = f'e^{2f}, \tag{5.19}$$

It is easy to check that

$$\psi(u) = z_1 \int z_1 du + z_2 \int z_2 du \tag{5.20}$$

is a special solution of the non-homogeneous equation (5.15). The general solution of (5.15) can be expressed by

$$z = \psi + Az_1 + Bz_2, \tag{5.21}$$

We use the notations y_0, y_1 and y_2, which are defined as

$$y_0 = \int \psi, \;\; y_1 = \int z_1, \;\; y_2 = \int z_2. \tag{5.22}$$

Then the general solution of (5.15) can be expressed by

$$y = y_0 + Ay_1 + By_2, \tag{5.23}$$

where A, B are constant. Thus the general solution of the vector equation (4.27) can be expressed as follows:

$$\xi = 2\vec{a}y_0 + y_1\vec{A} + y_2\vec{B}. \tag{5.24}$$

We are going to determine the constant vectors $\vec{a}, \vec{b}_\alpha, \vec{c}$ in (4.28) and $\vec{A}, \vec{B}$ in (5.24). Since $a = 0$, from the lemma 5.1 we have

$$< \vec{a}, \vec{a} >= 0, \quad < \vec{a}, \vec{b}_\alpha >= 0, \quad < \vec{b}_\alpha, \vec{b}_\beta >= \delta_{\alpha\beta}, \tag{5.25}$$

$$< \vec{b}_\alpha, \vec{A} > y_1 + < \vec{b}_\alpha, \vec{B} > y_2 + < \vec{b}_\alpha, \vec{c} >= 0, \tag{5.26}$$

$$< \vec{a}, \vec{A} > y_1 + < \vec{a}, \vec{B} > y_2 + < \vec{c}, \vec{a} >= -\frac{1}{2}, \tag{5.27}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &< \vec{A}, \vec{A} > z_1^2 + < \vec{B}, \vec{B} > z_2^2 + 4 < \vec{a}, \vec{A} > \psi z_1 \\ &+4 < \vec{a}, \vec{B} > \psi z_2 + 2 < \vec{A}, \vec{B} > z_1 z_2 = e^{2f}, \end{aligned} \tag{5.28}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &y_1^2 < \vec{A}, \vec{A} > + y_2^2 < \vec{B}, \vec{B} > +4 < \vec{a}, \vec{A} > y_0 y_1 \\ &+4 < \vec{a}, \vec{B} > y_0 y_2 + 2 < \vec{A}, \vec{B} > y_1 y_2 + 4 < \vec{a}, \vec{c} > y_0 \\ &+2 < \vec{A}, \vec{c} > y_1 + 2 < \vec{B}, \vec{c} > y_2 + < \vec{c}, \vec{c} >= 0. \end{aligned} \tag{5.29}$$

Since z_1, z_2 are linear independent, we know that $y_1, y_2, 1$ are linear independent. From (5.26) and (5.27) we have

$$\begin{aligned} &< \vec{b}_\alpha, \vec{A} >=< \vec{b}_\alpha, \vec{B} >=< \vec{b}_\alpha, \vec{c} >= 0, \\ &< \vec{a}, \vec{A} >=< \vec{a}, \vec{B} >= 0, \quad < \vec{c}, \vec{a} >= -\frac{1}{2}. \end{aligned} \tag{5.30}$$

From (5.19), (5.28) and (5.30) we have

$$(< \vec{A}, \vec{A} > -1) z_1^2 + (< \vec{B}, \vec{B} > -1) z_2^2 + 2 < \vec{A}, \vec{B} > z_1 z_2 = 0.$$

Since (5.17) implies that z_1^2, z_2^2 and $z_1 z_2$ are linear independent, we have

$$< \vec{A}, \vec{A} >=< \vec{B}, \vec{B} >= 1, \quad < \vec{A}, \vec{B} >= 0. \tag{5.31}$$

By putting (5.30) and (5.31) into (5.29) we have

$$y_1^2 + y_2^2 - 2y_0 + 2 < \vec{A}, \vec{c} > y_1 + 2 < \vec{B}, \vec{c} > y_2 + < \vec{c}, \vec{c} >= 0.$$

By differentiating this equation and noting that (5.20) and (5.22) imply $y_0' = y_1' y_1 + y_2' y_2$, we have

$$y_1' < \vec{A}, \vec{c} > + y_2' < \vec{B}, \vec{c} >= 0,$$

which shows

$$< \vec{A}, \vec{c} >=< \vec{B}, \vec{c} >= 0, \tag{5.32}$$

and

$$y_1^2 + y_2^2 + < \vec{c}, \vec{c} >= 2y_0. \tag{5.33}$$

Since $\{\vec{a}, \vec{b}_\alpha, \vec{c}, \vec{A}, \vec{B},\}$ satisfies (5.30)-(5.32), we can take them, up to a Lorentz transformation in R_1^{m+3}, as following fixed vectors:

$$\vec{a} = (1, -1, 0, 0, \cdots, 0), \quad \vec{c} = (c_1, c_2, 0, 0, \cdots, 0),$$

$$\vec{A} = (0, 0, 1, 0, \cdots, 0), \quad \vec{B} = (0, 0, 0, 1, \cdots, 0),$$

$$\vec{b}_\alpha = (\underbrace{0, \cdots, 0}_{\alpha+2}, 1, 0, \cdots, 0), \quad 2 \le \alpha \le m.$$

Noting $< \vec{a}, \vec{c} >= -\frac{1}{2}$ (see (5.30)), we have $c_1 + c_2 = 1/2$ and so have

$$c_1 + < \vec{c}, \vec{c} >= c_1 - c_1^2 + c_2^2 = \frac{1}{4}, \quad c_2 - < \vec{c}, \vec{c} >= \frac{1}{4}. \tag{5.34}$$

From (5.33) and (5.34) we have

$$\xi + \eta = (2y_0 + c_1 + ||v||^2, -2y_0 + c_2 - ||v||^2, y_1, y_2, v_2, \cdots, v_m)$$
$$= (y_1^2 + y_2^2 + ||v||^2 + \frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{2} - (y_1^2 + y_2^2 + ||v||^2 + \frac{1}{4}), y_1, y_2, v).$$

Noting

$$\rho(1, x) = e^{-f}(\xi + \eta),$$

we have

$$\rho = e^{-f}(y_1^2 + y_2^2 + ||v||^2 + \frac{1}{4}), \tag{5.35}$$

and

$$x = (\frac{1 - 4(y_1^2 + y_2^2 + ||v||^2)}{4(y_1^2 + y_2^2 + ||v||^2) + 1}, 2\frac{2(y_1, y_2, v)}{4(y_1^2 + y_2^2 + ||v||^2) + 1}), \tag{5.36}$$

We denote a $(m-1)$-dimensional cylinder surface in R^{m+1} as follows:

$$\Im(u, v) = (2y_1, 2y_2, 2v),$$

where $y_1 = \int e^f \cos u du, y_2 = \int e^f \sin u du$. And denote the inverse stereographic projection by σ which is defined by (1.4). Then, from (5.36) we see that

$$x = \sigma \circ \Im. \tag{5.37}$$

Without loosing generality, we can use v instead of $2v$ and c_1, c_0 instead of $2c_1, 2c_0$. Then we have

$$\Im(u, v) = (\int e^f \cos u du, \int e^f \sin u du, v). \tag{5.38}$$

Case II: $a \neq 0$.

Let

$$\bar{\xi} = \xi + \vec{c} + \frac{1}{a}(2\vec{a} - \frac{a}{2}\vec{c}), \tag{5.39}$$

then we can write equation (4.27) as

$$\bar{\xi}''' - 2f'\bar{\xi}'' + (-ae^{2f} + (f')^2 - f'' + 1)\bar{\xi}' - af'e^{2f}\bar{\xi} = 0. \tag{5.40}$$

From the lemma 5.1 we have

$$< \bar{\xi}, \bar{\xi} >= -\frac{1}{a},, \quad < \bar{\xi}, 2\vec{a} - \frac{a}{2}\vec{c} >= 0, \quad < \bar{\xi}, \vec{b}_\alpha >= 0, \quad < \bar{\xi}', \bar{\xi}' >= e^{2f}. \tag{5.41}$$

Subcase II-1 $a < 0$.

In this case, (5.5) shows that $2\vec{a} - \frac{a}{2}\vec{c}$ is a time-like vector. We can take, up to a transformation in $\mathrm{O}(1, m+2)$, it and $\vec{b}_\alpha$ as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} 2\vec{a} - \frac{a}{2}\vec{c} &= (\sqrt{-a}, 0, \cdots, 0), \\ \vec{b}_\alpha &= (\underbrace{0, \cdots, 0}_{\alpha-1}, 1, \cdots, 0), \quad 2 \le \alpha \le m. \end{aligned} \tag{5.42}$$

Let

$$\vec{A} = (0, \cdots, 0, 1, 0, 0), \quad \vec{B} = (0, \cdots, 0, 0, 1, 0), \vec{C} = (0, \cdots, 0, 0, 1).$$

Then we see that $\{2\vec{a} - \frac{a}{2}\vec{c}, \vec{A}, \vec{B}, \vec{C}, \vec{b}_\alpha, \}$ is a Lorentz orthonormal basis in $\mathbb{R}^{m+3}_1$. From (5.41) we see that

$$\bar{\xi}(u) \in \mathbb{S}^2(1/\sqrt{-a}) \subset \mathrm{span}\{\vec{A}, \vec{B}, \vec{C}\} \cong \mathbb{R}^3.$$

Noting that h is defined by (3.53), we can write

$$\eta(v) - \vec{c} = e^{h(v)}(||v||^2\vec{a} + \sum_\alpha \vec{b}_\alpha v_\alpha + (1 - e^{-h(v)})\vec{c}) = e^{h(v)}(\frac{1}{2}(2\vec{a} - \frac{a}{2}\vec{c})||v||^2 + \sum_\alpha \vec{b}_\alpha v_\alpha). \tag{5.43}$$

From (5.41) and (5.43) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \xi(u) + \eta(v) &= -\frac{1 - \frac{a}{4}||v||^2}{a(1 + \frac{a}{4}||v||^2)}(2\vec{a} - \frac{a}{2}\vec{c}) + \bar{\xi}(u) + e^{h(v)}\sum_\alpha \vec{b}_\alpha v_\alpha \\ &= (\frac{1 - \frac{a}{4}||v||^2}{\sqrt{-a}(1 + \frac{a}{4}||v||^2)}, \frac{v}{1 + \frac{a}{4}||v||^2}, \bar{\xi}(u)). \end{aligned} \tag{5.44}$$

The Moebius position vector Y of the immersion x is

$$Y(u, v) = (\rho(1, x))(u, v) = e^{-h(v)}(\frac{1 - \frac{a}{4}||v||^2}{\sqrt{-a}(1 + \frac{a}{4}||v||^2)}, \frac{v}{1 + \frac{a}{4}||v||^2}, \bar{\xi}(u)), \tag{5.45}$$

where

$$\rho(u, v) = e^{-h(v)}\frac{1 - \frac{a}{4}||v||^2}{\sqrt{-a}(1 + \frac{a}{4}||v||^2)}, \tag{5.46}$$

and

$$x(u,v) = \left(\frac{\sqrt{-a}v}{1-\frac{a}{4}||v||^2}, \frac{1+\frac{a}{4}||v||^2}{1-\frac{a}{4}||v||^2}\sqrt{-a}\bar{\xi}(u) \right). \tag{5.47}$$

Locally, we can take $N^{m-1} = \{v : v \in \mathbb{R}^{m-1}, ||v|| < 2/\sqrt{-a}\}$. Let map

$$\phi : N^{m-1} \to \mathbb{H}^{m-1}(1/\sqrt{-a})$$

denote the inverse stereographic projection to hyperbolic space which is defined by

$$\phi(v) = (\frac{1-\frac{a}{4}||v||^2}{\sqrt{-a}(1+\frac{a}{4}||v||^2)}, \frac{v}{1+\frac{a}{4}||v||^2}). \tag{5.48}$$

Then (5.44) implies

$$(\xi+\eta)(M^m) = \phi(N^{m-1}) \times \bar{\xi}(L) = \mathbb{H}^{m-1}(1/\sqrt{-a}) \times \Gamma_2. \tag{5.49}$$

Hence we have

$$X(M^m) = \pi((1,x)(M^m)) = \pi((\xi+\eta)(M^m)) = \pi(\mathbb{H}^{m-1}(1/\sqrt{-a}) \times \Gamma_2), \tag{5.50}$$

where X and π are the maps defined in §1.

Subcase II-2 $a > 0$.

In this case, (5.5) shows that $2\vec{a} - \frac{a}{2}\vec{c}$ is a space-like vector. We can take, up to a transformation in $O(1, m+2)$, it and $\vec{b}_\alpha$ as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} 2\vec{a} - \frac{a}{2}\vec{c} &= (0,0,0,-\sqrt{a},0,\cdots,0), \\ \vec{b}_\alpha &= (\underbrace{0,\cdots,0}_{\alpha+2},1,\cdots,0), \quad 2 \le \alpha \le m. \end{aligned} \tag{5.51}$$

Let

$$\vec{A} = (1,0,0,0\cdots,0), \quad \vec{B} = (0,1,0,0,\cdots,0),$$
$$\vec{C} = (0,0,1,0,\cdots,0),$$

Then we see that $\{2\vec{a} - \frac{a}{2}\vec{c}, \vec{A}, \vec{B}, \vec{C}, \vec{b}_\alpha,\}$ is a Lorentz orthonormal basis in $\mathbb{R}^{m+3}_1$. From (5.41) we see that

$$\bar{\xi}(u) \in \mathbb{H}^2(1/\sqrt{a}) \subset \mathrm{span}\{\vec{A}, \vec{B}, \vec{C}\} \cong \mathbb{R}^3_1.$$

From (5.41), (4.28), (3.53) and (6.51) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \xi(u) + \eta(v) &= -\frac{1-\frac{a}{4}||v||^2}{a(1+\frac{a}{4}||v||^2)}(2\vec{a} - \frac{a}{2}\vec{c}) + \xi(u) + e^{h(v)}\sum_\alpha \vec{b}_\alpha v_\alpha \\ &= (\bar{\xi}(u), \frac{1-\frac{a}{4}||v||^2}{\sqrt{a}(1+\frac{a}{4}||v||^2)}, \frac{v}{1+\frac{a}{4}||v||^2}). \end{aligned} \tag{5.52}$$

We can take $N^{m-1} = \mathbb{R}^{m-1} \cup \{\infty\}$. Let map

$$\psi : \mathbb{R}^{m-1} \cup \{\infty\} \to \mathbb{S}^{m-1}(1/\sqrt{a})$$

denote the inverse stereographic projection which is defined by

$$\psi(v) = (\frac{1 - \frac{a}{4}||v||^2}{\sqrt{a}(1 + \frac{a}{4}||v||^2)}, \frac{v}{1 + \frac{a}{4}||v||^2}).$$

Then (5.52) implies

$$(\xi + \eta)(M^m) = \xi(L) \times \psi(N^{m-1}) = \Gamma_3 \times \mathbb{S}^{m-1}(1/\sqrt{a}).$$

Hence we have

$$X(M^m) = \pi((1, x)(M^m)) = \pi((\xi + \eta)(M^m)) = \pi(\Gamma_3 \times \mathbb{S}^{m-1}(1/\sqrt{a})). \tag{5.53}$$

We will show the meaning of parameter u on the following. As one of the Moebius principal curvature of x is $-1/m$, the curvature sphere corresponding to this principal curvature is

$$\mathcal{P} = E - \frac{1}{m}Y : M^m \to \mathbb{S}_1^{m+2}, \tag{5.54}$$

where $\mathbb{S}_1^{m+2} = \{Z \in \mathbb{R}_1^{m+3} :< Z, Z >= 1\}$, called $m + 2$-dimensional de Sitter space. The second equation of (4.8) shows

$$\frac{\partial \mathcal{P}}{\partial v_\alpha} = 0, \;\; 2 \le \alpha \le m. \tag{5.55}$$

This shows that the curvature sphere $\mathcal{P}$ degenerates into a curve in $\mathbb{S}_1^{m+2}$. From the first equation of (4.8) we have

$$< \frac{d\mathcal{P}}{du}, \frac{d\mathcal{P}}{du} >= 1. \tag{5.56}$$

Hence u is the arc-length parameter of the curvature sphere $\mathcal{P}$.

On the following we will give the relationship between curves $\mathcal{P}$ and ξ and explain the meaning of the constrain condition (5.40). One hand, from (4.12) we have

$$\mathcal{P} = Y_{uu} + f'Y_u + (-ae^{2f} + f'')Y - e^f F_{\alpha\alpha}. \tag{5.57}$$

On the other hand, from the first equation of (4.8) we have

$$\mathcal{P}' = -f'Y - Y_u. \tag{5.58}$$

Derivation of (5.58) yields

$$\mathcal{P}'' = -f''Y - f'Y_u - Y_{uu}. \tag{5.59}$$

From (5.57)-(5.59) we get

$$-ae^{2f}Y = \mathcal{P} + \mathcal{P}'' + e^{f}F_{\alpha\alpha}. \tag{5.60}$$

By taking $v \to 0$ in (5.58) and (5.59), using (4.16), (4.26) and (4.28) we have

$$\mathcal{P}' = -e^{-f}\xi', \tag{5.61}$$

$$\mathcal{P} + \mathcal{P}'' = -e^{f}[a(\xi + \vec{c}) + 2\vec{d} - \frac{a}{2}\vec{c}]. \tag{5.62}$$

$$\mathcal{P} = -e^{f}[a(\xi + \vec{c}) + 2\vec{d} - \frac{a}{2}\vec{c}] + (e^{-f}\xi')', \tag{5.63}$$

Hence, for case $a = 0$, $\mathcal{P}$ is a solution of the following equation

$$\mathcal{P}'' + \mathcal{P} = -2e^{f}\vec{d}.$$

For case $a \neq 0$, by setting

$$\bar{\xi} = \xi + \vec{c} + \frac{1}{a}(2\vec{d} - \frac{a}{2}\vec{c})$$

we get the correspondence between curvature sphere $\mathcal{P}$ and the curve $\bar{\xi}$ as follows:

$$\mathcal{P} = -ae^{f}\bar{\xi} + (e^{-f}\bar{\xi}')', \tag{5.64}$$

$$\mathcal{P}' = -e^{-f}\bar{\xi}', \tag{5.65}$$

$$\bar{\xi} = -\frac{1}{a}e^{-f}(\mathcal{P} + \mathcal{P}''). \tag{5.66}$$

Next step we show that the curves Γ_2 and Γ_3 are determined by function f. Let s denote the arc parameter of $\Gamma_i (i = 2, 3)$. From (5.41) we see that $\frac{ds}{du} = e^{f}$. By defining $\dot{\bar{\xi}} = \frac{d\bar{\xi}}{ds}$ and $q = e^{f}$, then from (5.40), (5.41) know that $\bar{\xi}$ satisfies following equation system

$$q^2\dddot{\bar{\xi}} + q\dot{q}\ddot{\bar{\xi}} + (1 + (m-2)\dot{q}^2 - (m-3)aq^2)\dot{\bar{\xi}} - aq\dot{q}\bar{\xi} = 0, \tag{5.67}$$

$$< \bar{\xi}, \bar{\xi} >= -\frac{1}{a}, \quad < \dot{\bar{\xi}}, \dot{\bar{\xi}} >= 1, \tag{5.68}$$

It is well- known that a curve in $\mathbb{S}^2(1\sqrt{-a})(a < 0)$ or $\mathbb{H}^2(1\sqrt{a})(a > 0)$ is determined by the geodesic curvature, up to a transformation in $O(3)$ or $O(1,2)$. We can calculate the geodesic curvature κ of Γ_i by using (5.67) and (5.68). In fact, the equation (5.67) is equivalent to the equation (5.64´) and (5.65´) which can be rewritten as follows:

$$\ddot{\bar{\xi}} = a\bar{\xi} + \frac{1}{q}\mathcal{P}, \tag{5.64´}$$

$$\dot{\mathcal{P}} = -\frac{1}{q}\dot{\bar{\xi}}. \tag{5.65´}$$

Thus, by using (5.67) we see that $\{\sqrt{|a|}\bar{\xi}, \dot{\bar{\xi}}, \mathcal{P}\}$ is an orthonormal moving frame along the curve and we have

$$\kappa =< \ddot{\bar{\xi}}, \mathcal{P} >= \frac{1}{q}. \tag{5.69}$$

Conversely, It is easy to check that for any smooth function f, the curve with geodesic curvature $\kappa = e^{-f}$ in $\mathbb{S}^2(1\sqrt{-a})(a < 0)$ or $\mathbb{H}^2(1\sqrt{a})(a > 0)$ must satisfy the equations system (5.67) – (5.68).

By concluding above all and Theorem 3.1, we complete the proof of **Theorem 1.1**.

6 Conformally flat hypersurface in $\mathbb{S}^{m+1}(m \geq 4)$

A Riemannian manifold (M, g) is called conformally flat if there exist conformal coordinate charts around each point, or - equivalently - if to each point $p \in M$, there exists a function u defined on some neighbourhood of this point such that the metric $e^{2u}g$ on the neighbourhood is flat. It is known that M with dimenision greater than 3 is conformally flat if and only if its Weyl curvature tensor W vanishes. And M with dimenision 3 is conformally flat if and only if its Schouten tensor S is Codazzi tensor. Actually, the last three kind of hypersurfaces in **Theorem 1.1** are conformally flat according to the following proposition.

Proposition 6.1 *Let $x : M^m \to \mathbb{S}^{m+1} \subset \mathbb{R}^{m+2}$ be a hypersurface with two distinct Moebius principal curvatures $\frac{m-1}{m}$ and $-\frac{1}{m}$ of multiplicities 1 and $m-1$. Then M is conformally flat.*

Proof£ Let $W = \sum_{ijkl} W_{ijkl}\omega_i \otimes \omega_j \otimes \omega_k \otimes \omega_l, S = \sum_{ij} S_{ij}\omega_i \otimes \omega_j$ be the Weyl curvature tensor and Schouten tensor of x given by

$$S_{ij} = R_{ij} - \frac{1}{2(m-1)}R\delta_{ij}, \tag{6.1}$$

$$W_{ijkl} = R_{ijkl} - \frac{1}{m-2}A_{ijkl}, \tag{6.2}$$

where $A_{ijkl} = S_{ik}\delta_{jl} + S_{jl}\delta_{ik} - S_{il}\delta_{jk} - S_{jk}\delta_{il}$. From (6.1), (6.2), (2.12) and (2.13) we get

$$\begin{aligned} W_{ijkl} = B_{ik}B_{jl} - B_{il}B_{jk} - \frac{1}{m-2}[-\sum_h B_{ih}B_{hk}\delta_{jl} - \sum_h B_{jh}B_{hl}\delta_{ik} \\ + \sum_h B_{ih}B_{hl}\delta_{jk} + \sum_h B_{jh}B_{hk}\delta_{il} + \frac{1}{m}(\delta_{ik}\delta_{jl} - \delta_{il}\delta_{jk})], \end{aligned} \tag{6.3}$$

From the assumption, (3.11) and (3.12) hold. Put (3.11) into (6.3), we get $W_{ijkl} = 0$ and then M with dimension greater than 3 is conformally flat. For M with dimension 3, after calculating the covariant derivations of S_{ij} from (6.1) and (2.12), we can see that S is Codazzi tensor if and only if

$$B_{ik,j}(B_{ii}+2B_{jj})=(2B_{ii}+B_{jj})(C_j\delta_{ik}-C_k\delta_{ij}). \tag{6.4}$$

From (3.11)-(3.12) and $m=3$, we get (6.4) and then complete the proof. ▮

On the other hand, we can have the following important propsition.

Proposition 6.2 *An m-dimensional umbilic-free hypersurface* $x: M^m \to \mathbb{S}^{m+1}(m \geq 4)$ *is conformally flat if and only if its Moebius second fundamental form* $\mathbf{B}$ *has two distinct principal curvatures* $\frac{m-1}{m}$ *and* $-\frac{1}{m}$ *of multiplicities* 1 *and* $m-1$.

Proof£ At a fixed point $p \in M$ we can choose a tangent orthonormal frames $\{E_i\}$ of $(M, \mathbf{g})$ such that

$$B_{ij}=B_{ii}\delta_{ij}, 1 \leq i,j \leq m. \tag{6.5}$$

Since the Weyl curvature tensor W is curvature tensor, it is determined by $\{W_{ijij}\}$. From (6.3) of Proposition 6.1, we get

$$W_{ijij}=B_{ii}B_{jj}+\frac{1}{m-2}(B_{ii}^2+B_{jj}^2)-\frac{1}{m(m-2)}, i \neq j.$$

So x is conformally flat if and only if

$$B_{ii}B_{jj}+\frac{1}{m-2}(B_{ii}^2+B_{jj}^2)-\frac{1}{m(m-2)}=0, i \neq j. \tag{6.6}$$

From (6.6), by taking i,j,k,l such that they are distinct each other, we have

$$B_{ii}B_{kk}+\frac{1}{m-2}(B_{ii}^2+B_{kk}^2)-\frac{1}{m(m-2)}=0, i \neq k, \tag{6.7}$$

$$B_{ii}B_{ll}+\frac{1}{m-2}(B_{ii}^2+B_{ll}^2)-\frac{1}{m(m-2)}=0, i \neq l, \tag{6.8}$$

$$B_{jj}B_{kk}+\frac{1}{m-2}(B_{jj}^2+B_{kk}^2)-\frac{1}{m(m-2)}=0, j \neq k, \tag{6.9}$$

$$B_{jj}B_{ll}+\frac{1}{m-2}(B_{jj}^2+B_{ll}^2)-\frac{1}{m(m-2)}=0, j \neq l, \tag{6.10}$$

$$B_{kk}B_{ll}+\frac{1}{m-2}(B_{kk}^2+B_{ll}^2)-\frac{1}{m(m-2)}=0, k \neq l, \tag{6.11}$$

From (6.6)-(6.11) we have

$$(B_{ll}-B_{ii})(B_{jj}-B_{kk})=0, \tag{6.12}$$

$$(B_{ii}-B_{jj})(B_{kk}-B_{ll})=0, \tag{6.13}$$

$$(B_{jj} - B_{kk})(B_{ll} - B_{ii}) = 0, \tag{6.14}$$

$$(B_{kk} - B_{ll})(B_{ii} - B_{jj}) = 0, \tag{6.15}$$

From (6.12)-(6.15) we see that at most two of $B_{ii}, B_{jj}, B_{kk}, B_{ll}$ are distinct. This and the assumption of umbilic-free imply that there are two of $B_{ii}, B_{jj}, B_{kk}, B_{ll}$ which are distinct. Without losing generality, we suppose $B_{ii} \neq B_{jj} = B_{kk} = B_{ll}$. Since i, j, k, l are distinct each other and range from 1 to m, we know that B_{ii} has multiplicity 1 and B_{jj} has multiplicity $m - 1$. For convenience, we arrange $E_1, \cdots, E_m$ such that

$$B_{11} = \lambda, B_{22} = \cdots = B_{mm} = \mu. \tag{6.16}$$

From (2.13), we have

$$\lambda = \frac{m-1}{m}, \mu = -\frac{1}{m}. \tag{6.17}$$

Since p is arbitrary, we complete the proof of Proposition 6.2. ∎

Proof of Corallary 1.1: Since the Moebius second fundamental form B has the same eigenspaces as the second fundamental form II, from Prop.6.2 we know that the conformally flat hypersurface M has two distinct principal curvatures and then from Prop.3.1 we know M has non-vanishing Moebius form. Hence we get Corallary 1.1 from Theorem 1.1.

References

[1] E. Cartan, La déformation des hypersurfaces dans l′éspace conforme réel *à* $n \geq 5$ dimensions, *Bull. Soc. Math. France*, **45** (1917) 57–121, (Euvres Complètes Partie 3, Vol.1, S.221, Paris 1955).

[2] M. A. Akivis and V. V. Goldberg, Conformal differential geometry and its generalizations, *Wiley, New York* (1996).

[3] Thorbergsson G., Dupin hypersurfaces, *Bull Bull. London Math. Soc.,* **15** (1983), 493–498.

[4] Cecil T. E., Ryan P. J., Tight and taut immersions of manifolds, *Research Notes in Mathematics 107, Pitman Advanced Publishing Program* (1985).

[5] Pinkall U., Dupin hypersurfaces, *Math. Ann.,* **270** (1985), 427–440.

[6] C.P.Wang, Moebius geometry of submanifolds in $\mathbb{S}^n$, *Manuscripta Math.,* **96** (1998), 517–534.

[7] M. A. Akivis and V. V. Goldberg, A conformal differential invariant and the conformal rigedity of hypersurfaces. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 125(1997), 2415-2424.

[8] Z.J. Hu, H.Z. Li, Submanifolds with constant Möbius scalar curvature in S^n. *Manuscripta Math.* 111(2003), 287-302.

[9] Z.J. Hu, H.Z. Li, Classification of hypersurfaces with parallel Möbius second fundamental form in S^{n+1}. *Science in China Ser. A Math.* 34,1(2004), 28-39.

[10] H.Z. Li, H.L. Liu, C.P. Wang, G.S. Zhao, Moebius isoparametric hypersurfaces in $\mathbb{S}^n$., *Tohoku Math. J.***53** (2001), 553–569.

[11] H.Z. Li, H.L. Liu, C.P. Wang, G.S. Zhao, Möbius isoparametric hypersurfaces in S^{n+1} with two principal curvature, *Acta Math. Sinica, English Series*, 18(2002),437-446.

[12] H.Z. Li, C.P. Wang, Surfaces with vanishing Möbius form in S^n, *Acta Math. Sinica, English Series*, 19(2003), 671-678.

[13] Z. Guo, H.Z. Li, C.P. Wang, The Moebius Characterizations of Willmore tori and Veronese submanifolds in the unit sphere., *Pacific J. of Math.*, **241**(2009), 227–242.

[14] Z. Guo, H.Z. Li, C.P. Wang, The second variation formula for Willmore submanifolds in S^n. *Results Math.* 40(2001),205-225.

[15] Z. Guo, J.B. Fang, L.M. Lin, Hypersurfaces with isotropic Blaschke tensor, *to appear in J. Math. Soc. Japan (2011)*.

[16] Z.Guo, L.M. Lin, The classification of the hypersurfaces with constant Moebius curvature. *In print*.

[17] L. Verstraelen, G. Zafindratrafa: Some comments on Conformally flat submanifolds. *Geometry and Topology III, 131-147(1991; MR 96c: 53088; zb(727. 53028))*

[18] Pedit F.J. and Willmore T.J.: Conformal Geometry. *Atti Sem. Mat. Fis. Univ. Modena* XXXVI(1988), 237-245.

[19] Chen B.Y.: Total mean curvature and submanifolds of finite type. World Scientific, Singapore, 1984.

Zhen Guo
Department of Mathematics, Yunnan Normal University,Kunming, 650092, P. R. of China
e-mail:gzh2001y@yahoo. com
Limiao Lin
1.Department of Mathematics, East China Normal University,Shanghai, 200241,P. R. of China
2.Department of Mathematics, Yunnan Normal University,Kunming, 650092, P. R. of China
e-mail: 83343055@163.com